

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Appropria Vernica 22113-1460

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/747,901	12/30/2003	Joseph Deluise	JD-1-am-mv	6789
75	90 03/07/2005		EXAM	INER
Michael I. Kro 171 Stillwell La			DONNELLY,	JEROME W
Syosset, NY 11791			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3764	
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/747,901	DELUISE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jerome W Donnelly	3764
The MAILING DATE of this communication eriod for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIC Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by a Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n earned patter turn adjustment See 37 CFR 17-0047.	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a a tall a septy within the statutory minimum of thir aridd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOT tatute, cause the application to become At the target.	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. VTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 LI S. C. § 133).
atus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
sposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) -8 is/are pending in the applic	cation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s)is/are allowed.		
5) Claim(s)is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	
pplication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 Certified copies of the priority docum 	ents have been received.	
Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	application No
Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu	, .	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	
\triangleleft	- Juz	JEROME W. DONNELLY PRIMARY EXAMINER
ĮV		
achment(s)		
	4) 🔲 Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
tachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/747,901

Art Unit: 3764

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Contrini in view of Thomas and Morris.

Contrini discloses a set of devices comprising a creeper comprising: a base member and roller elements.

The second element in the set comprising a base member and roller elements.

Contrini however, does not disclose his device as comprising: a handle member extending vertically horizontally and cushion members as specifically claimed.

Thomas discloses a similar device comprising handles.

Morris discloses a device comprising an assembly Figs 1 and 2 which extends substantially vertically from the base member (11) of his device.

Given the above teachings the examiner notes that it would have been obvious to add both types of structures to the device of Morris. Once for providing a headrest and one for carrying the device and holding onto when occupying and moving the device while positioned on the device.

As to the devices including padding the examiner note that to include padding on creeper members is notoriously well known in the art.

The examiner further note that the claims are so broad, so as to read on two creeper members sitting side by side in a box, work room or automotive shop.